

**2018/12/06 Start:** 16:30 UTC **End:** 17:07 UTC

**Participants:** Eric Loos, Florian Hibler, Job Snijders, Matt Griswold, Steve McManus, Arnold Nipper

**Agenda:**

- Minutes of last call: status
- Follow up on action points: status
- Quote for next development; discussion, there are additional items compared to [#313](#) that make sense.
  - Comparison:  
[https://docs.google.com/spreadsheets/d/1l63mo3qluyjBJ8Rco9oT-td8-k0aXr9ikk\\_AixmgdkQ/edit?usp=sharing](https://docs.google.com/spreadsheets/d/1l63mo3qluyjBJ8Rco9oT-td8-k0aXr9ikk_AixmgdkQ/edit?usp=sharing)
  - 20C Quote:  
<https://drive.google.com/file/d/119kgiiV4eI6laxLpho2j7Mg2zysuKIAX/view?usp=sharing>
  - All present agree quote is good to move forward
- Feedback on [product manager job posting](#)
  - How much time per week for this person?
    - Matt thinks ~5hr/week to maintain the github issues once caught up, more at the beginning.
    - Something like 2d/week for the first ~3 months to bootstrap.
  - Job thinks sending someone to conferences might be too high of a cost to the org. Leave it as experience attending these conferences as a very nice to have in JD, but not a requirement for the role.
    - Let's see what the applicant pool looks like and if they already have access to these communities, no worries. If they don't and are ineffective in the role, discuss if we can fund sending the PM to some of them.
- Language packs, next deployment and online editor
  - New language packs along with editor release first week of January
  - Matt described the new language/online editor system and how great it is
  - We'll let everyone know who contributed translations a status update on where we're at with releasing the translations
- Moratorium on releases from mid-December until January
- Feedback from PCOM to board: none
- Tutorial webserver / playground: [spec doc](#)
  - Plan to have it for next APRICOT
  - Arnold would like input on how this would work ASAP
  - Main differences here between beta and tutorial site is the feature set is the same as prod, but data is fungible. Tutorial site needs to be more stable than beta (which could go down as we introduce changes).
- CI/CD system
  - Want to lower the barrier for the community or other vendors to contribute to peeringdb code. CI/CD would help us with that.

- Auto-apply diffs and spin up an instance where someone can view the changes.
- Job would like to spec out the work (along with any volunteers), put out bids for doing the work, decide on who does it after we get bids.
- Out of scope: merging diffs into master branch and pushing them to prod.
- Eric to look into how we can get quotes from a wider audience than the peering community (which is relatively small)