2019/06/07 Start: 17:30 UTC **End:** 18:10 UTC
Participants: Filiz Yilmaz, Arnold Nipper, Eric Loos, Steve McManus, Matt Griswold, Florian Hibler, Greg Villain, Job Snijders, Aaron Hughes

Agenda:

1. Approval of minutes of the previous meeting: Approved and can be published
2. Review action points: Updated on to-do list
3. Discuss decision-making process
Filiz has sent some proposals earlier.

Can we first agree on if there is a problem with the decision making process?

Job: Yes. There was no process since Product Com was created. Over the last years, the existing process worked well for small issues but for larger architectural changes the current process is not enough. Lets look into it and make it better.

Matt: Main problem is when issues sit there and there is no decision. So just adding deadlines to the process

Greg: Things that are too long without activity this may be the signal that there is not enough interest to it and they can be cancelled/withdrawn.

Arnold: Differentiate between small features vs big ones. Work on smaller ones quickly.

Aaron: Agrees a change is needed that can balance views between Product Com and others. We are also missing a view of impact, pros and cons what the change will bring.

Florian: Agrees with Aaron. Difficult in differentiate between main community input and prioritization.

Filiz:

Eric: Agrees there are issues. Quorum leads to getting stuck. Suggests take the emails from Filiz and himself then we can start making changes.

Job: To obtain a quorum we should not try to get an opinion from all members of the Product Com. Not everyone has the same interests. Can we try: We limit the quorum to 3 but everyone has a right to veto.
Aaron: Split issues into 3 categories. Small Medium Large.
Large could also mean Significant change on platform and there is an relatively large impact on budget.

Medium could mean Significant change on platform but small budget impact or Non-significant on platform but a high budget impact
Small could mean non-significant and minimal budget impact.

Job: Also in terms of responsibility of creating discussion and traction on issues, it should be on Product Com members who create them.

Aslo Product Com inherited loads of github issues. 150 something issues are way too many to deal with all.

Steve:

4. Attempt to close #23 (==> #58, #98 & #193)
5. Discuss backlog

All to go to the github backlog issues and take 5 that is interest to them.

https://github.com/peeringdb/peeringdb/issues?q=is%3Aopen+is%3Aissue+no%3Aassignee+sort%3Acreated-asc

@action on all: Go through this process:
Pick 5 issues
Start discussion on 5 issues and drive them towards conclusion until the next meeting.

6. Feedback to the board

7. AOB
@action on Eric: Help Greg to get on hotseat