

PeeringDB Issues board

[Google Meet](#)

[PC Todo list](#)

2022-04-07 Start: 15:30 UTC End: ??:?? UTC

Participants: Matt, Leo, Steve, Arnold, Peter, Martin

Agenda

- No minutes from last meeting
- “Carrier” object development plan
 - Leo to share a [draft plan](#) for discussion
- Improvement of [facility](#) object (AN)
 - add landlord, campus, and part_of field
- Geosearch discussion
 - Share user needs and the reasons for improving our geosearch offer. Also look at the costs and risks associated with this.
 - Discuss if we should seek broader (but relatively shallow) input on geosearch features using a simple survey like this

Floor/Suite Information in PeeringDB

We'd like to know what level of address information about interconnection facilities is useful to PeeringDB users. Please answer our YES/NO survey and leave a comment if you want to give additional input.

Should PeeringDB have structured addressing that supports floor and suite numbers for buildings that host multiple interconnection facilities?

Yes No


Feel free to add a comment supporting your answer.

[Finish](#)

- Tools to support new [AC approval guidelines](#)
 - Does 20C need more information to develop a design and present a quote?
- What improvements do we need to better support facility operators?
 - Related to [#1110](#)? (AN)
 - <https://github.com/peeringdb/peeringdb/issues/287>
 - <https://docs.peeringdb.com/committee/admin/approval-guidelines/>

- [#1057](#) - What implementation approaches could we take?
 - Just use e-mail address as name?
 - Adjust the ticket creation API to just use a salutation, like “Good Day”?
 - Get users to set a name for their account?
- Data Ownership TF: would it make sense to move the “allow” permission from defaulting from off to on?

PeeringDB Configuration

Allow IXP Update 	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
IXP Update Tools	Preview Postmortem