2024-05-02 Start: 15:30 UTC End: 16:30 UTC

Participants: Jack, Grizz, Yan, Martin, Leo, Steve, Jeff

Apologies: Paul, Arnold

Main agenda

• What business objectives do users need to address through <u>labeling states/provinces</u> instead of relying on geocode in fac? (Also see: #1199)

- Should we provide precomputed lists available for download?
- Should we focus on making complex API queries simpler to achieve?
 - Support for location data fields in parent fac to be made available as read-only fields in child objects.
 - Also support for ways to show the physical location of the peering switch.
 - ACTION: draft a document describing the proposed implementation (expose state field from fac in ixfac and netfac and carrierfac) and circulate
- Discussion with Google: report (Jack and Leo) on feature request and group discussion
 - The basic feature request (show me who I could peer with at places I am already at) is a feature request that has been around for a long time.
 - o Google were happy with the concept of a spreadsheet solution and liked the availability of JSON/CSN search downloads

Number	Title	Summary	Consent Finalized?
#1574	Add comparison function to assess datacenter connectivity attractiveness	Add data analysis to PeeringDB, expanding from current database model	OK
<u>#1575</u>	Ability to visualise datacenter localization via a map	Request to integrate map visualization of locations in web UI instead of KMZ download	NO - KMZ instead
#1563	CSV and JSON exports truncate at 250 records	How can we make it easier for users without software development skills to get access to large amounts of structured data? • Could we publish cached CSV files? • Could we adjust API rate limiting be more intelligent and answer queries like "give me all facs in	Not yet - need to implement in a way that doesn't create a problem - need an implementation proposal

Number	Title	Summary	Consent Finalized?
		the US?"	
		Need to avoid opening a DoS window. Could avoid it with a subscription for queries feature?	
<u>#1600</u>	RFE for IRRdb support on Route Servers	Requester wants ability to use PeeringDB display which IRR databases the Route Server supports – and so, which are not	NO
<u>#1596</u>	Improvements to search	6 search improvements proposed by 20C	YES
#1590	Clarification of campus definition	We have used slightly different wording to describe campuses in PeeringDB. Which wording should we standardize on?	Will update docs to note that the wording is deliberately ambiguous and we rely on PeeringDB users acting responsibly
#1591	Re-order Org object top level query output for Web UI	Discussion of most useful display order for objects on web UI	Defer to next meeting

Consent Agenda

Non-contentious issues that can be agreed in a single vote. Members can ask for an issue to be placed on the main agenda if they want it to have more discussion.

Number	Title	Summary	Consent Finalized?
#1599	Discount IX owned/operated networks in net_count.	As title	Defer to next meeting
#1576	Add flag for RFC8950 support to networks	Add a flag to indicate when IPv4 NLRI requires an IPv6 hop	Defer to next meeting

Informational

No action required. Members should be aware that these new issues have been agreed since the last meeting.

Number	Title	Bug?	Summary
#1605	Unable to save Organization API key permissions for Carrier objects	YES	As title
#1604	API limit=x does not work when response type set in URL	YES	As title
#1603	Organization API key table formatting broken	YES	As title
#1593	Dev module docs auto generation broken	YES	As title
<u>#1589</u>	UI Bug: Modifying the BFD status updates the speed for an IX connection	YES	As title
<u>#1606</u>	rir_status update handler: intermittent bug that may attempt to delete a network too early	YES	As title
#1602	IX-F importer fails on nulled if_speed & pdb_facility_id properties in if_list entries	YES	As title

Number	Title	Summary

AOB

Text